

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/397,503	09/17/1999	GAURAV AGGARWAL	YO999-129	8826
75	90 01/12/2004		EXAM	INER
McGINN & GIBB PPLC			ZIA, MOSSADEQ	
8321 OLD COU	JRTHOUSE RD., STE. 2	00		
VIENNA,, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2134	1/
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	•	09/397,503	AGGARWAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mossadeq Zia	2134			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
/	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 7-38 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4, 7-38 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
•	, , <del></del>	olookon roquilomonic.				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12)						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/397,503

Art Unit: 2134

3

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: this claim is dependent on claim 1, not claim 20 which the amended claim shows. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Regarding amended claim 1, the phrase "optionally encrypted" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 4. Claims 37, 38 recites the limitation "optional information" on page 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The term should read "further information".
- 5. **Applicant's arguments**, see page 12 of amendment, with respect to claims 20, and 30 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 20 and 30 has been withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/397,503 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

1. Claims 1, 2, 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Patent No. 5,434,917 Naccache et al. The claim rejections are re-listed from original office action to address the amended claim 1.

- 2. In regards to claim 1, Naccache discloses a method of guaranteeing authenticity of an object (see fig. 2:14) comprising: providing a random sample (see col. 1, lines 34-42), associating a reproducible number that is obtained by a reader reading sample (see fig. 2:23) then forming a coded version of number, and recording the number into the object (see abstract and col. 1, lines 47-55).
- 3. In regards to claim 2, Naccache discloses the use of objects including smart card with method where the number associating to sample, which is essentially reproducible, is recorded onto object. Naccache further discloses the usage of a chip with recording support on the object (abstract and fig. 2:13).
- 4. In regards to claim 25, Naccache discloses a coded version of number includes one of optional data appended to it (see col. 3, lines 1-10).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent No. 5,434,917, Naccache et al. in view of Patent No. 5,974,150, Kaish et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/397,503 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

7. Naccache Regarding claim 37, Naccache discloses claim 1 above, but fails to disclose said optional information comprises the date of issue of said object.

However, Kaish shows that each authentication certificate (object) also includes other features (optional information) such as trademark, product identification, copyright text (date) (Kaish, col. 22, line 31-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Naccache as per teaching of Kaish to include these features to provide aid in obtaining a legal remedy in the case of simply copying said object (Kaish, col. 22, line 34-35).

8. Regarding claim 38, Naccache discloses claim 1 above, but fails to disclose said optional information comprises the functionality of an application of said object.

However, Kaish shows that each authentication certificate (object) also includes other features (optional information) such as trademark, product identification (functionality), copyright text (Kaish, col. 22, line 31-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Naccache as per teaching of Kaish to include these features to provide aid in obtaining a legal remedy in the case of simply copying said object (Kaish, col. 22, line 34-35).

#### Response to Amendment

- 9. **Applicant's arguments filed** on page 13, line 6-12, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Naccache clearly shows "said number (ID) being optionally encrypted in combination with further information (password)" (Naccache, col. 3, lines 1-10).
- 10. Applicant's arguments filed on page 13, line 18-20, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states, "The fibers of Kaish may have the characteristic that a

Art Unit: 2134

precise reading is not possible, but the steel marbles of Naccache would not share this characteristic."

This examiner respectfully disagrees. Naccache reader is reading from an analog system and converting the reading to digital information thus can be considered essentially reproducible reading due to imprecise nature of converting analog data into digital data. Hence the examiners rejection for claims 1-36 stands.

#### Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mossadeq Zia whose telephone number is 703-305-8425. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/397,503

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-3900.

Mossadeq Zia Examiner Art Unit 2134 Page 6

mz 12/30/03

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100